ISSN 2564-3258

EDITORIAL

# The Enduring Value of Case Reports in Modern Radiology

**Jon C Davidson**[1,2,3*]

**Davidson JC. The Enduring Value of Case Reports in Modern Radiology. Int J Radiol Case Rep. 2025;2(2):74-75.**

## Abstract

Radiology case reports continue to play a vital role in advancing clinical insight, diagnostic vigilance and patient safety. By highlighting uncommon presentations, unexpected complications and real-world decision-making, case reports preserve lessons that cannot always be captured in large trials or guidelines. This editorial reflects on the enduring educational and clinical value of case-based scholarship across diagnostic and interventional radiology.

**Key Words:** *Radiology; Case reports; Diagnostic imaging; Clinical decision-making; Medical education*

Radiology continues to evolve at a remarkable pace, yet the foundation of our field remains unchanged, every meaningful advance in patient care begins with a thoughtful, precise and clinically grounded interpretation of images. Throughout my career-spanning diagnostic radiology, interventional radiology, academic leadership, the training of residents and fellows and extensive medico-legal consultation. I have repeatedly seen how subtle findings and individual cases shape not only outcomes for patients but the standards and expectations of our profession. This is why journals dedicated to radiology case reports remain critically important to our collective growth [1,2].

Diagnostic radiology has always formed the backbone of my practice. Even as my work expanded into complex image-guided interventions and national leadership roles within interventional radiology, the principles I relied on most consistently were those developed at the reading room workstation, pattern recognition, anatomical understanding, anticipation of complications and clear communication with clinical teams. Interventional radiology depends entirely on this diagnostic foundation. The most successful interventions, whether in vascular disease, oncology or emergent care are rooted in accurate diagnosis, thoughtful imaging analysis and the ability to integrate imaging with the clinical context. The bridge between diagnostic

[1]*Interventional and Diagnostic Radiologist, Ohio, USA*

[2]*President, Association of Chiefs of Interventional Radiology, Ohio, USA*

[3]*Associate Professor, Department of Interventional Radiology, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Ohio, USA*

[*]*Corresponding author: Jon C Davidson, Associate Professor, Department of Interventional Radiology, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Ohio, USA, E-mail: Jon.Davidson@UHhospitals.org*

*Received: December 20, 2025, Accepted: December 21, 2025, Published: December 24, 2025*

OPEN ACCESS This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (CC BY-NC) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits reuse, distribution and reproduction of the article, provided that the original work is properly cited and the reuse is restricted to noncommercial purposes.

and interventional radiology is built on that shared commitment to precise, patient-centered imaging [1].

My work reviewing medico-legal cases has reinforced these lessons with striking clarity. Many of the most consequential issues in radiology arise not from technological limitations but from lapses in vigilance, communication or interpretation. When subtle imaging findings are missed, when unexpected complications are not anticipated or when urgent results are not conveyed promptly, a patient's trajectory can change dramatically. These experiences underscore the vital importance of disciplined diagnostic practice. Case reports preserve and disseminate these lessons in a way that benefits the entire field [1,2].

The value of case-based learning has only grown as radiology continues to expand into new technological frontiers. Artificial intelligence, advanced cross-sectional imaging, molecular diagnostics and increasingly refined image-guided therapies hold enormous promise for improving patient care. Yet these tools do not diminish the need for clinical judgment, curiosity and critical thinking. Individual case reports remind us that radiology is not merely about identifying findings, it is about understanding what those findings mean for the patient in front of us [3,4].

The scope of the international journal of radiology case reports reflects the breadth of radiology practice today. By presenting cases drawn from diagnostic imaging, emergency radiology, pediatric radiology, nuclear medicine and image-guided procedures, the journal provides a platform for shared learning across subspecialties. This diversity mirrors the reality of modern radiology, where insights gained in one domain often inform decision-making in another [5].

Throughout my years of teaching, I have emphasized that radiology is a discipline of anticipation. It is not enough to recognize what an image shows, we must also understand what is likely to come next and communicate those implications clearly. Case reports are uniquely suited to teaching this anticipatory mindset [1,3].

As our specialty continues to evolve, the clarity, rigor and humility demonstrated in high-quality case reporting remain indispensable. These reports capture nuance that large studies cannot and strengthen clinical reasoning that ultimately improves patient care [5].

I am grateful to the authors, reviewers and editorial team whose work makes this issue possible. It is my hope that the cases presented here will inspire thoughtful reflection and reinforce principles that elevate radiologic practice across disciplines.

## References

1. Brady AP. Error and discrepancy in radiology: inevitable or avoidable? Insights Imaging. 2017;8:171-82.
2. Berlin L. Radiologic errors and malpractice: a blurry distinction. Am J Roentgenol. 2007;189:517-22.
3. Gunderman RB. Biases in radiologic reasoning. Am J Roentgenol. 2009;192:561-4.
4. Mello-Thoms C, Mello CAB. Clinical applications of artificial intelligence in radiology. Br J Radiol. 2023;96:20221031.
5. Riley DS, Barber MS, Kienle GS, et al. CARE guidelines for case reports: explanation and elaboration document. J Clin Epidemiol. 2017;89:218-35.